JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

Communication

On the Chemistry of Zn–Zn Bonds, RZn–ZnR (R = [{(2,6-PrCH)N(Me)C}CH]): Synthesis, Structure, and Computations

Yuzhong Wang, Brandon Quillian, Pingrong Wei, Hongyan Wang, Xiao-Juan Yang, Yaoming Xie, R. Bruce King, Paul v. R. Schleyer, H. Fritz Schaefer, and Gregory H. Robinson

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2005**, 127 (34), 11944-11945• DOI: 10.1021/ja053819r • Publication Date (Web): 04 August 2005



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

J|A|C|S
COMMUNICATIONS

On the Chemistry of Zn−Zn Bonds, RZn−ZnR (R = [{(2,6-Pr$^i_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH]): Synthesis, Structure, and Computations

Yuzhong Wang, Brandon Quillian, Pingrong Wei, Hongyan Wang, Xiao-Juan Yang, Yaoming Xie,
R. Bruce King, Paul v. R. Schleyer, H. Fritz Schaefer, III, and Gregory H. Robinson*

Department of Chemistry and the Center for Computational Chemistry, The University of Georgia,
Athens, Georgia 30602-2556

Received June 10, 2005; E-mail: robinson@chem.uga.edu

The recent preparation of the first compound containing a Zn−Zn bond, Cp*Zn−ZnCp* (Cp* = C_5Me_5), **1**,[1,2] is a remarkable achievement. The characterization of other such compounds is desirable for further exploration of Zn−Zn bond chemistry.[3−6] To this end, we now report the synthesis and molecular structure[7] of the second compound with a Zn−Zn bond, RZn−ZnR (R = [{(2,6-Pr$^i_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH]), **2** (Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl).



1



2

Our route to **2** began with the preparation of the lithium derivative, RLi (R = [{(2,6-Pr$^i_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH])[8] followed by its reaction with ZnI_2 in Et_2O to give RZn(μ-I)$_2$Li(OEt$_2$)$_2$.[9] Potassium reduction of RZn(μ-I)$_2$Li(OEt$_2$)$_2$ affords **2** as colorless, air- and moisture-sensitive crystals (eq 1).

$$RZn(\mu\text{-I})_2Li(OEt_2)_2 \xrightarrow{K} \mathbf{2} \quad (1)$$

Supporting computations on related RZn−ZnR systems provide insight into the nature of the Zn−Zn bond.

Compounds with homonuclear metal−metal bonds of the heavier group 12 metals, cadmium[10,11] and mercury,[12] are well-known. Alkali or alkaline earth metal reduction of metal halides, complexed by sterically demanding ligands, has proven to be a fruitful synthetic approach to compounds containing main group metal−metal bonds[13−16] and main group metal−transition metal bonds.[17−19] We applied this approach to the preparation of **2** by utilizing the well-known sterically encumbered β-diketiminate ligand, [{(2,6-Pr$^i_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH]$^-$.[20−22] This β-diketiminate ligand has been used to stabilize an In−In bond, R(Cl)In−In(Cl)R,[23] and a recently reported Mn−Mn bond, RMn−MnR.[24]

X-ray structure analysis confirms the dimeric nature of **2** and the central Zn−Zn bond (Figure 1). The two ligands are arranged in a nearly orthogonal orientation with a N(1)−Zn(1)−Zn(2)−N(3) torsion angle of 86.6°, thus providing effective steric protection of the Zn−Zn bond (Figure 1b). The Zn−Zn distance in **2**, 2.3586(7) Å, is only about 0.05 Å longer than that of 2.305(3) Å reported for **1**. However, the Zn−Zn bond lengths for **1** and **2** are notably shorter than the Zn···Zn separation of 2.4513(9) Å in the related zinc hydride dimer, RZn(μ-H)$_2$ZnR (R = [{(2,6-Me$_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH]).[25] Moreover, the ^{1}H NMR resonance for the bridging zinc hydride in RZn(μ-H)$_2$ZnR was found at 4.59 ppm. This value is close to the 4.56 ppm reported for a tris(pyrazolyl)hydroborato complex with a terminal Zn−H.[26] The fact that no such zinc hydride ^{1}H resonance was observed for **2**, coupled with supporting structural and computational data (*vide infra*), further affirms **2**.



Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of **2** (thermal ellipsoids are shown at 30% probability levels). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zn(1)−Zn(2) 2.3586(7), Zn(1)−N(1) 2.005(3), Zn(1)−N(2) 2.013(3), Zn(2)−N(3) 2.014(3), Zn(2)−N(4) 2.010(3); N(1)−Zn(1)−N(2) 93.65(13), N(1)−Zn(1)−Zn(2) 131.12(9), N(2)−Zn(1)−Zn(2) 134.10(9), N(3)−Zn(2)−N(4) 93.43(13), N(3)−Zn(2)−Zn(1) 132.62(9), N(4)−Zn(2)−Zn(1) 132.76(10). (b) Space filling model of **2**.

The six-membered C_3N_2Zn rings of **2** are not planar but adopt a puckered conformation with the zinc atom residing 0.65 Å out of the N−C−C−C−N plane. A similarly puckered C_3N_2Zn ring in RZnN(SiMe$_3$)$_2$ (R = [{(2,6-Pr$^i_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH])[27] has been reported. However, the C_3N_2Zn rings are planar in RZn(μ-H)$_2$ZnR (R = [{(2,6-Me$_2$C$_6$H$_3$)N(Me)C}$_2$CH]).[25] The zinc atoms in **2** adopt a trigonal planar geometry, while the Zn−N bond distances of 2.005(3) and 2.014(3) Å are among the longest on record.[28]

The Zn−Zn bond of **2** was probed by B3LYP/DZP^{++} and BP86/DZP^{++} density functional theory (DFT) computations on the RZn−ZnR (R = [(HNCH)$_2$CH]) model compound, **2H** (Figure 2a). As found in **2**, the perpendicular D_{2d} conformation of **2H** is slightly favored, with the D_{2h} rotation transition state being only 0.26 (B3LYP) or 0.37 kcal/mol (BP86) higher in energy than the D_{2d} minimum. The C_3N_2Zn rings of **2H** are planar, rather than having the zinc atoms puckered out-of-plane, as observed experimentally in **2**. Indeed, **2H** may be regarded as an isoelectronic and a potentially *metalloaromatic*[29] analogue of biphenyl with a central Zn−Zn bond: two C−C−C fragments of each ring having been replaced by isoelectronic N−Zn−N units. Aromaticity was probed by computing nucleus-independent chemical shifts (NICS)[30] on the simple benzene-like cyclic $C_3H_5N_2ZnH$ monomer. The refined NICS(0)$_{\pi\,zz}$ value of −7.6 (based on the tensor component perpendicular to the ring)[31] reveals weak aromatic character (compare the −36.6 benzene and the −1.0 1,4-cyclohexadiene NICS(0)$_{\pi\,zz}$ values at the same level).

10.1021/ja053819r CCC: $30.25



Figure 2. Model compounds **2H** computed (a) with D_{2d} symmetry and **2H(*μ*-H)$_2$** (b) with D_{2h} symmetry (all bond distances are in Å).



Figure 3. Representation of the frontier molecular orbitals of **2H** from DFT calculations.[33]

The bulky substituents contribute to the puckered conformation of the C_3N_2Zn rings in **2**. Indeed, the C_3N_2Sn ring of $SnCl(Me)_2[CH(CPhNSiMe_3)_2]$ is puckered, while that of the less sterically encumbered $SnCl(Me)_2[CH(CPhNH)_2]$ approaches planarity.[32] The computed Zn−Zn distances of 2.392 (B3LYP) and 2.366 Å (BP86) for **2H** agree well with the experimental Zn−Zn value of 2.3586(7) Å for **2**. The corresponding hydride-bridged $RZn(\mu\text{-}H)_2ZnR$ (R = [(HNCH)$_2$CH]) model compound, **2H(*μ*-H)$_2$**, was also examined. The D_{2h} **2H(*μ*-H)$_2$** minimum has coplanar C_3N_2Zn rings (Figure 2b). The Zn−Zn distances of 2.440 (B3LYP) and 2.412 Å (BP86) in model **2H(*μ*-H)$_2$** approach the experimental value of 2.4513(9) Å for $RZn(\mu\text{-}H)_2ZnR$ (R = $[\{(2,6\text{-}Me_2C_6H_3)N(Me)C\}_2CH]$)[25] but are notably longer than those computed for **2H** and found experimentally for **2**. These computational results of the model compounds **2H** and **2H(*μ*-H)$_2$** provide further support for the structure of **2**. Bubbling of H_2 into a toluene solution of **2**, however, did not result in hydride formation.

While the **2H** LUMO (Figure 3) is entirely ligand-based with π-symmetry, the **2H** HOMO corresponds to the Zn−Zn σ-bonding orbital. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis shows that the natural charge of the zinc atoms in **2H** is +0.85, consistent with the +1 oxidation state of the zinc atoms in **2** and **2H**. The 65.2 kcal/mol Zn−Zn bond dissociation energy of **2H** compares well with the 67.7 kcal/mol reported for **1**.[6] The disproportionation energy of **2** to R_2Zn and Zn is 5.56 kcal/mol (B3LYP). The Zn−Zn bond has 95% s, 4% p, and 1% d character. The NLMO/NPA Zn−Zn bond order of 0.87 and the electron occupancy of the Zn−Zn bonding orbital of 1.9542 are supportive of the intriguing Zn−Zn single bond.

Acknowledgment. G.H.R., R.B.K., P.v.R.S., and H.F.S. are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-0209857).

Supporting Information Available: Full details of the computations and X-ray crystallographic studies, including a cif file. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) Resa, I.; Carmona, E.; Gutierrez-Puebla, E.; Monge, A. *Science* **2004**, *305*, 1136−1138.
(2) del Río, D.; Galindo, A.; Resa, I.; Carmona, E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 1244−1247.
(3) Parkin, G. *Science* **2004**, *305*, 1117−1118.
(4) Xie, Y.; Schaefer, H. F.; King, R. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2818−2819.
(5) Kang, H. S. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 4342−4351.
(6) Xie, Z.-Z.; Fang, W.-H. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *404*, 212−214.
(7) Synthesis and structure: Under an inert atmosphere of dry argon, a solution of $RZnI_2Li(OEt_2)_2$ (R = $[\{(2,6\text{-}Pr^i{}_2H_3C_6)N(Me)C\}_2CH]$) (2.75 g, 3.080 mmol) in 50 mL of toluene was added to a flask containing finely cut potassium (0.120 g, 3.080 mmol) at ambient temperature. After being stirred over 2 days, the solution was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to 8 mL and kept standing at ambient temperature. Over 1 day, colorless crystals of RZn−ZnR (0.70 g; 47% yield) were isolated. Mp: 190 °C (dec). ^1H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 0.76 [d, 12H, CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$], 1.06 [d, 12H, CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$], 1.19 [d, 12H, CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$], 1.24 [d, 12H, CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$], 1.58 (s, 12H, CC*H*$_3$), 2.99 [m, 4H, C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$], 3.44 [m, 4H, C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$], 4.84 (s, 2H, C*H*), 7.03−7.18 (m, 12H, Ar-*H*). Anal. (E+R Microanalytical Laboratories, Corona, NY) Calcd (found) for $C_{58}H_{82}N_4Zn_2$ (966.08): C, 72.11 (72.06); H, 8.56 (8.65). X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD-based X-ray diffractometer system with graphite-monochromated Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.710 73 Å). Cell parameters and an orientation matrix for data collection corresponded to a monoclinic crystal system, with unit cell parameters a = 11.6783(15) Å, b = 18.775(3) Å, c = 28.507(4) Å, β = 97.760(3)°, V = 6193.2(15) Å^3, D_{calcd} = 1.135 g cm^{-3}, and Z = 4 for $C_{58}H_{82}N_4Zn_2$ (toluene). The structure was solved in the space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14) by direct methods using the SHELXTL 6.1 bundled software package. Using 8033 observed reflections ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), refinement converged at $R1 = 0.0572$ and $wR2 = 0.1493$.
(8) Stender, M.; Wright, R. J.; Eichler, B. E.; Prust, J.; Olmstead, M. M.; Roesky, H. W.; Power, P. P. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 3465−3469.
(9) Prust, J.; Most, K.; Muller, I.; Stasch, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Uson, I. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 1613−1616.
(10) Faggiani, R.; Gillespie, R. J.; Vekris, J. E. *Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 517−518.
(11) Reger, D. L.; Mason, S. S.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 10406−10407.
(12) Bravo-Zhivotovskii, D.; Yuzefovich, M.; Bendikov, M.; Klinkhammer, K.; Apeloig, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 1100−1102.
(13) Twamley, B.; Sofield, C. D.; Omlstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 3357−3367.
(14) Su, J.; Li, X.-W.; Crittendon, R. C.; Robinson, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 5471−5472.
(15) Li, X.-W.; Xie, Y.; Schreiner, P. R.; Gripper, K. D.; Crittendon, R. C.; Campana, C. F.; Schaefer, H. F.; Robinson, G. H. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 3798−3803.
(16) Li, X.-W.; Pennington, W. T.; Robinson, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 7578−7579.
(17) Wang, Y.; Quillian, B.; Yang, X.-J.; Wei, P.; Chen, Z.; Wannere, C. S.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Robinson, G. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7672−7673.
(18) Yang, X.-J.; Quillian, B.; Wang, Y.; Wei, P.; Robinson, G. H. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 5119−5120.
(19) Su, J.; Li, X.-W.; Crittendon, R. C.; Campana, C. F.; Robinson, G. H. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4511−4513.
(20) Parks, J. E.; Holm, R. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1968**, *7*, 1408−1416.
(21) Holm, R. H.; O'Connor, M. J. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1971**, *14*, 241−401.
(22) Bourget-Merle, L.; Lappert, M. F.; Severn, J. R. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 3031−3065.
(23) Stender, M.; Power, P. P. *Polyhedron* **2002**, *21*, 525−529.
(24) Chai, J.; Zhu, H.; Stückl, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Mogull, J.; Bencini, A.; Caneschi, A.; Gatteschi, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 9201−9206.
(25) Hao, H.; Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Bai, G.; Schmidt, H. G.; Noltemeyer, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1118−1119.
(26) Bergquist, C.; Koutcher, L.; Vaught, A. L.; Parkin, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 625−627.
(27) Cheng, M.; Moore, D. R.; Reczek, J. J.; Chamberlain, B. M.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Coates, G. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 8738−8749.
(28) Cheng, M.; Attygalle, A. B.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Coates, G. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 11583−11584.
(29) Robinson, G. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 773−782.
(30) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Maerker, C.; Dransfeld, A.; Jiao, H.; Hommes, N. J. R. v. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 6317−6318.
(31) Heine, T.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Corminboeuf, C.; Seifert, G.; Reviakine, R.; Weber, J. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2003**, *107*, 6470−6475.
(32) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Liu, D.-S. *Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 1699−1700.
(33) Computations: All the structures were optimized at the DFT level (B3LYP and BP86) with DZP++ basis set with the Gaussian-94 program (reference in Supporting Information).

JA053819R